



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/079,241	02/20/2002	Holly Hogrefe	25436/2155	7186	
27495	7590 03/05/2003				
PALMER & DODGE, LLP			EXAM	INER	
111 HUNTI	M. WILLIAMS / STR NGTON AVENUE	HUTSON, RICHA		ICHARD G	
BOSTON, MA 02199			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1652		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office A stice Commence		10/079,241	HOGREFE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard G Hutson	1652			
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,6,9-15,21-27 and 31-62 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,9-15,21-27 and 31-62</u> are subjection	ect to restriction and/or election re	equirement.			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
۵٫۱	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) BEST AVAILABLE COPY						
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants preliminary amendment canceling claims 4-5, 7-8, 16-18, 20, and 28-30, amending claims 1-3, 6, 9-15, 15, 21-27 and 31-62 and adding new claim 63, Paper No. 9. 12/24/2002, is acknowledged. Claims 1-3, 6, 9-15, 15, 21-27 and 31-62 are present for examination.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, 6, 9-15, 19 and 21-23, drawn to an enzyme mixture comprising a first enzyme and a second enzyme, classified in class 435, subclass 194.
- II. Claims 24-27, 32-35 and 63 drawn to method for DNA synthesis, classified in class 435, subclass 15.
- III. Claims 40, 41, 47, 48, 54, 55, 57-62, drawn to a mutant DNA polymerase, classified in class 435, subclass 194.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the enzyme

mixture can be used in a materially different process such as one in which the enzyme mixture is used to mutate or synthesize polynucleotides.

The enzyme mixture of Group I and the mutant DNA polymerase of Group III each comprise a chemically unrelated structure capable of separate manufacture, use and effect. The proteins of Groups I and III each comprise an unrelated amino acid sequence.

The proteins of Group III is unrelated to the method of Group II as they are neither used nor made by the method of Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the literature and sequence searches required for each of the Groups are not required for another of the Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

REST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 10/079,241

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G Hutson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0066. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 4:00 pm, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0196.

Richard Hutson, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1652 March 5, 2003